* Given the provided data, what are three conclusions that we can draw about crowdfunding campaigns?
  1. **The successful rate outcomes is by far the most occurring outcome.**
  2. **Failures are the second most occurring outcome.**
  3. **Cancelled outcomes is the least occurring outcome.**
* What are some limitations of this dataset?

**This dataset is not update and only has dataset from the past setting back 5 to 9 years. So, this data only explains the previous decade.**

* What are some other possible tables and/or graphs that we could create, and what additional value would they provide?

**We could have created table and pie charts adding the information in the 2020s (2020-2024). It will show how the data is doing now and if it has gotten worse or better with successes. As well as adding a chart conveying the percents funded and have the goals been met. I think that will better explain things with outcome.**

* Use your data to determine whether the mean or the median better summarizes the data.

**The mean is more useful explaining the data because the mean represents the average, so this data’s mean represents the average number of successes and fails. The median only explain the middle number in a data set, so not much information there.**

* Use your data to determine if there is more variability with successful or unsuccessful campaigns. Does this make sense? Why or why not?

**There is much more variability with successful campaigns than with unsuccessful campaigns and that makes sense, which is a good thing. That shows there is a certain performance in most campaigns being performed to meet their goals and if we find out what it is, then there can be more successful campaigns if we exploit that certain action each successful campaign is doing.**